Case 1:03-cv-12389-GAO Document 33-3 Filed 03/13/2006 Page 1 of 10

## EXHIBIT B

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS No. 03-CV-12389-GAO

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

THOMAS D. GILLIS,

Plaintiff

vs.

SPX CORPORATION INDIVIDUAL

RETIREMENT PLAN and

SPX RETIREMENT

ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE,

Defendants

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

VOLUME: I

PAGES: 1-87

DEPOSITION OF THOMAS D. GILLIS
DECEMBER 6, 2005

G&M Court Reporters, Ltd. 617-338-0030

ORIGINAL

'Page 2 DEPOSITION OF THOMAS D. GILLIS, a witness 1 2 called on behalf of the Defendants, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil 3 Procedure, before Judith McGovern 5 Williams, Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 130993, Registered Professional 6 7 Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary 8 Public in and for the Commonwealth of 9 Massachusetts, at the offices of Burns & 10 11 Levinson L.L.P., 125 Summer Street, 12 Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1624 on Tuesday, December 6, 2005, commencing at 13 10:00 a.m. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

			Page	3
1	APPEARANCES:	·	J	
2				
3	BURNS & LEVINSON L.L.P.			
4	Lawrence P. Murray, Esquire			
5	125 Summer Street			
6	Boston, Massachusetts 02110-1624			
7	617-345-3510			
8	lmurray@burnslev.com			
9	On behalf of the Plaintiff			
10				
11	JENNER & BLOCK L.L.P.			
12	Andrew A. Jacobson, Esquire			
13	One IBM Plaza			i
14	Chicago, Illinois 60611-7603		· ·	
15	312-222-9350			
16	ajacobson@jenner.com			
17	On behalf of the Defendants			200
18				Contract Contract
19				STANSON STANSON
20				THE CASE TO SEE
21				SHIPTON CASES
22				ALL STREET, ST
23				Budlecotories to
24				NEWSTREEN !

G&M Court Reporters, Ltd. 617-338-0030

Page 28 was the Lindberg facility in Watertown, 1 Wisconsin. That is where Blue M was at that point. 3 When is the first time you can 4 Q. Okay. recall the subject of employee benefits 5 being addressed with you in connection 6 with SPX? 7 Oh, when they sent out information, it Α. 8 said that, to us, that SPX would continue, 9 that we would merge into SPX. There was 10 handouts or literature mailed actually to 11 12 us. Okay. Do you recall what that literature 13 Q. was? 14 It was descriptions of what was going to 15 Α. 16 happen. Were these about the merger generally or 17 Q. specific to benefits? 18 Specific to benefits. 19 Α. Do you recall how many of those 20 Ο. communications you received? 21 There could have been two or three. 22 Α. in particular that I remember was the 23

large copy of a PowerPoint presentation

24

- that was at the meeting in headquarters
- 2 that I wasn't available to go.
- 3 Q. Okay. And that is the one that I think we
- talk about in the case called Building for
- 5 Tomorrow?
- 6 A. Right.
- Q. I have that here. We can get into that.
- 8 How was it that you obtained that
- 9 PowerPoint?
- 10 A. It was mailed to me.
- 11 Q. Was there any kind of cover letter that
- 12 you recall?
- 13 A. No. It was just here was what was
- presented at the meeting, the all-hands
- meeting at the factory.
- 16 Q. Okay. Other than receiving the PowerPoint
- from that meeting, did you receive any
- accounts of that meeting, any other kinds
- of accounts from people or anything like
- 20 that?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. Did you talk to anyone who had attended
- the meeting?
- 24 A. I did.

G&M Court Reporters, Ltd. 617-338-0030

- 1 Q. And what do you recall them telling you
- 2 about the meeting?
- 3 A. Nothing enlightening.
- 4 Q. Okay. All right. So we will look at the
- 5 PowerPoint in a minute, but other than the
- 6 PowerPoint, do you recall anything else
- 7 specifically that you might have received?
- 8 A. No, I don't.
- 9 Q. We will go over some other documents just
- to see when you might have gotten them or
- 11 how, if you did.
- 12 At some point, do you recall
- receiving a summary plan description for
- the SPX benefits plan?
- 15 A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Do you recall how you received that?
- 17 A. Mail.
- 18 Q. Do you recall the time period in which you
- 19 would have received it?
- 20 A Most of all of that communication came in
- in 1998, late. I think there was a four-
- to six-month period where all of those
- things were being finalized officially.
- Q. When you say "all of those things," what

- own or with the assistance of counsel or
- anyone else?
- 3 A. I had -- I had somebody assisting me.
- 4 Q. Who was assisting you?
- 5 A. A gentleman by the name of George
- 6 Chimbenko.
- 7 Q. Could you spell that last name?
- 8 A. I knew you were going to ask me that. I
- think it is C-H-I-M-B-E-N-K-O.
- 10 Q. Who is Mr. Chimbenko?
- 11 A. He is an attorney.
- 12 Q. Was he an attorney you hired to represent
- you or someone who was just helping you
- out or what?
- 15 A. He was consulting me -- with me at that
- 16 time. I did not hire him.
- 17 Q. Is he a personal friend?
- 18 A. No.
- 19 Q. Okay. What were the circumstances -- and
- I want to caution you. You don't need to
- tell me anything that you discussed with
- Mr. Chimbenko.
- 23 A. Okay.
- 24 Q. I just want to understand the

- circumstances of you going to him, what
- caused you to go to him. And I take it
- you didn't ultimately retain him as your
- 4 lawyer?
- 5 A. I did not retain him, no.
- 6 Q. What assistance did he provide you in
- 7 connection with the issue of your benefits
- 8 with SPX or this communication?
- 9 A. He helped write the letter.
- 10 Q. Okay. How did you locate him as someone
- who could help you do that?
- 12 A. Through a lawyer that I had used for other
- purposes.
- 14 O. And who is that?
- 15 A. It was Reese & Carlson -- Gilmore, Reese &
- 16 Carlson in Franklin, Massachusetts.
- 17 Q. Was there any particular reason you didn't
- hire Mr. Chimbenko at that time?
- 19 A. Yes, there was.
- 20 Q. What is that?
- 21 A. He had a conflict of interest.
- Q. Oh, all right. Did he explain to you the
- nature of what that was?
- 24 A. No.

- 1 Q. After October 22, 2001, did you retain
- 2 counsel to assist you in your benefits
- 3 issues?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 Q. When did you do that?
- 6 A. Sometime in 2002.
- 7 Q. And to whom did you -- who did you retain?
- 8 A. Burns & Levinson.
- 9 Q. Was that a firm you had been referred to
- 10 by anyone?
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. Who referred you there?
- 13 A. Lawyers at Reese, Gilmore & Carlson.
- 14 Q. Do you recall when in 2002 you retained
- 15 Burns & Levinson?
- 16 A. I can't be specific other than it was
- 17 later than June probably. Maybe -- I
- would just be guessing. I really can't
- 19 pin it down.
- 20 Q. Okay. You say later in June, I take it
- 21 because it was after your termination of
- employment?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. So it would be fair to say it was sometime